PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To: see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 bis. 1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
			·			
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
			International filing date 02.02.2005	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18.02.2004	
			both national classification	n and IPC		
401	K91/02, B63B35/	/14, B63C7/08				
	cant					
3AF	RRETT, Clive Ve	mon				
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the or	oinion			
	Box No. II	Priority				
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	of invention			
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned star applicability; c	tement under Rule 43 <i>t</i> itations and explanatio	ois.1(a)(i) with regard ons supporting such	to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum				
	☐ Box No. VII		s in the international a	polication		
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
	Box No. VIII	Certain observ				
2.	FURTHER ACTI					
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ION International present the Internation See an Authoreau under Rule	vations on the internation is a standard or in the standard examination is a standard examination is a standard examination of the standard examination is standard examination of the standard examination is standard examination in the standard examination is standard examination examination in the standard examination examin	onal application s made, this opinion ing Authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and	will usually be considered to be a "). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the ernational Searching Authority	
2.	If a demand for it written opinion of the applicant che international Burwill not be so could this opinion is, submit to the IPI	international pre if the Internation ooses an Autho reau under Rule nsidered. as provided ab EA a written rep	eliminary examination is nal Preliminary Examin rity other than this one 66.1 bis(b) that written to be live together, where approximations of the solutions of	onal application s made, this opinion ing Authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and a opinions of this Inte	r). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the	
2.	FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant che International Bui will not be so co- If this opinion is, submit to the IPI months from the	nternational pre- of the Internation coses an Authoreau under Rule nsidered. as provided ab EA a written rep of date of mailing es later.	eliminary examination is nal Preliminary Examinary Examinary Examinary other than this one 66.1 bis(b) that written to be lightly together, where apply of Form PCT/ISA/220	onal application s made, this opinion ing Authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and a opinions of this Inte	the IPEA, the applicant is invited to diments, before the expiration of three	
3 .	FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant che International But will not be so co- If this opinion is, submit to the IPI months from the whichever expire For further optio	nternational present the Internation on the Internation on the Internation on the Internation of the Interna	eliminary examination is nal Preliminary Examinary Examinary Examinary other than this one 66.1 bis(b) that written to be lightly together, where apply of Form PCT/ISA/220	onal application s made, this opinion ing Authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and a opinions of this Inte	the IPEA, the applicant is invited to diments, before the experience.	
··-	FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant che International But will not be so co- If this opinion is, submit to the IPI months from the whichever expire For further optio	nternational present the Internation of the Interna	eliminary examination is nat Preliminary Examination is nat Preliminary Examination that this one a 66.1 bis(b) that written cove, considered to be oly together, where apply of Form PCT/ISA/220	onal application s made, this opinion ing Authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and a opinions of this Inte	the chosen IPEA has notifed the brnational Searching Authority the IPEA, the applicant is invited to diments, before the expiration of three tion of 22 months from the priority date,	

10/586385

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 17 JUL 2006
International application No.
PCT/ZA2005/000009

_	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With re	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	la	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With reneces	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type of material:					
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:					
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3	h C	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.				
A	Additi	onal comments.				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Yes: Claims 1-30 Novelty (N) **Claims** 31 No: 1-30 Yes: Claims Inventive step (IS) . No: Claims 31 Yes: Claims 1-30 Industrial applicability (IA) No: Claims 31

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/ZA2005/000009

Re Item V.

1) Closest state of the art.

Document US 4856222 describes a "floatable vessel" (which at the same time is a bait boat) including a weighted keel having a depth below the waterline substantially greater than the height of the deck above the waterline and a self-righting mechanism, in the event of the vessel capsizing or partially capsizing, the force of the water on the keel acts to right the vessel.

2) Problem.

The known bait(!) boats have insufficient self-righting capacity when deployed at sea and were thusfar only deployable on lakes.

3) Solution.

To add an additional self-righting mechanism in the shape of a lightweight float mounted at the end of a elongated element which is attached to the deck of the vessel. This additional feature is generally known (see FR 2551420), but the additional feature of a *flexible* elongated element being attached to a *biasing means* on the deck is not known and considered to be inventive.

4) Conclusions.

There is no indication to assume that one can come to the present invention with the relevant documents of the search report.

The independent claim 1 and dependent claims 2-30 therefore meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) & (3) PCT.

Claim 31 does not meet the requirements of Rule 6.2 (a) PCT.